

**UTAH DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE AND FOOD
INVASIVE SPECIES MITIGATION GRANT
APPLICATION
FY 2014-2015 UDAF**

**PLEASE COMPLETE A SEPARATE APPLICATION FOR EACH INDIVIDUAL PROJECT AREA
AND EACH TARGETED WEED SPECIES**

Project Ranking Assessment

Please do not complete this page (used by Ranking Committee)

Multiple Year Project: Is the proposed project a continuation of a previous ISM Grant. The proposed continuation of the project is part of an approved management strategy which utilizes multiple years in order to complete clearly defined goals and objectives.

YES NO

Has the requirement of no more than 10% of the proposed project budget allocated to administrative costs been followed?

YES NO

Is there a **clearly identified timeline** for **measurable** reduction and control of the target species in the project area? Measurable goals and objectives are clearly identified and stated in the proposal and there is a high probability of success (as measured against the stated goals and objectives and expected and intended results).

YES NO

If the project is proposed by a Federal Agency or landowner, is the project matched by at least an equal amount of money (not in-kind) as required by the Invasive Species Mitigation account legislation?

YES NO

Project Information Summary

(to be completed by Applicant)

Applicant

Project Name			
Organization Name (Applicant)		Contact Person (Project Manager)	
Mailing Address	City	State	Zip
Telephone	Cell Phone	Email	

Fiscal Agent (if different from Applicant):

Fiscal Agent		Contact Person (Financial Manager)	
Mailing Address	City	State	Zip
Telephone	Cell Phone	Email	

Must attach latest tax return showing Name, Address, and Federal Tax ID Number (may submit State of Utah Vendor Number in place of tax return). To receive funding from the State of Utah you must have a vendor number which ties received funding to a legal entity. Vendor numbers are linked to Federal Tax ID numbers and associated name and address.

The State Vendor Number can be found on copies of previous contracts with the State of Utah.

Budget and Scope of Work

Budget Table:

Category	ISM Grant	Federal	Other Gov.	Private	Total
Herbicide					
Labor					
Equipment					
Other					
Administration					
Totals					

Scope of Work/Work Plan:

Item	Description of Item Needed or Task to be Performed	Est. Date	Cost
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17	Final Report Submitted to UDAF * Report must include GPS/GIS Data		10%

Payments will be made based on the above Scope of Work or Work Plan. Design your items so that you will have the cash flow needed to complete the project successfully. For example if you purchase chemicals for an entire season make that separate item with a date when the chemicals are purchased. That way you will be able to be paid shortly after the purchase.

*** Also note that 10% of the grant amount will be withheld until a final report is received by UDAF along with GIS coverage of the project(not just a printed map). Please contact Rich Riding or Mark Quilter about how to provide this data, if you do not have access to GIS or a GIS Professional.**

Proposed Project

Project Name		
Project Location	County	GPS Coordinates * (minimum project center point)
Noxious Invasive Weeds Targeted	Number of Acres to be Treated:	
Description of proposed project		

* A map with the Project Area outlined over satellite/aerial photograph coverage showing treatment areas on the site should also be provided.

A total of 100 possible points will be assigned to each project based on the following criteria. The ability to bring multiple partner support and additional outside funding to the project will increase the likelihood of funding.

Ranking Score

Proposed Project



30 Points
Possible

Early Detection Rapid Response(EDRR) Focus Species:

Project is targeting an invasive weed species which has been identified by the Utah Department of Agriculture and Food as an invasive species of concern for FY2014.

These invasive species are: (not listed in order of importance)

Common crupina	Crupina vulgaris	Garlic mustard	Alliaria petiolata
African rue	Peganum harmala	Purple starthistle	Centaurea calcitrapa
African Mustard	Brassica tournefortii	Goatsrue	Galega officinalis
Small bugloss	Anchusa arvensis	Giant reed	Arundo donax
Mediterranean sage	Salvia aethiopis	Japanese knotweed	Polygonum cuspidatum
Spring millet	Milium vernale	Oxeye daisy	Leucanthemum vulgare
Syrian beancaper	Zygophyllum fabago	Vipers bugloss, blueweed	Echium vulgare
Scotch broom	Cytisus scoparius	Elongated mustard	Brassica elongata
Plumeless thistle	Carduus acanthoides	Common St. Johnswort	Hypericum perforatum
Malta starthistle	Centaurea melitensis	Sulfur cinquefoil	Potentilla recta
Camelthorn	Alhagi maurorum		

Other justifiable species
(please list below and
explain justification)

Locations of EDRR weeds must either be entered into EDDMaps (eddmmaps.org) or provide point data (shapefile or gpx file). The invasive population data must be included with the application.

Ranking Score

20 Points
Possible

Multiple Partner Involvement:

Project involves multiple stakeholders, such as a Cooperative Weed Management Area (CWMA). This includes support from private landowners in the proposed project area. Are there matching funds allocated by other agencies which have been specifically assigned to this project to increase the likelihood of success with the proposed project?

20 Points
Possible

Damage Reduction:

The project will have a positive impact to rehabilitate an area that:

- is infested with or impacted by an invasive species,
- has a fuel load that may contribute to a catastrophic wildland fire,
- or prevent catastrophic wildland fire through land restoration in a watershed.

Ranking Score

10 Points
Possible

Relationship to other Management Plans: Project will help meet specific goals and objectives and/or management opportunities identified in other planning or assessment documents. Some examples of plans: State weed management plan, county weed management plans, CWMA weed management plans, allotment and/or grazing management plans, species/game management plans, wildlife management unit plans, CRMPs, forest management plans, watershed/TMDL plans, fuel/fire management plans, etc.

10 Points
Possible

Monitoring and Future Management: Monitoring shall include at a minimum Photo Points and GPS points with the approximated weed population sizes for the treatment area. Project proposal includes details on future management that will ensure the long term success of the project. This may include: post-treatment grazing rest and/or management plans/changes, wildlife herd/species management plans, ranch plans, conservation easements or other permanent site protection plans, resource management plans, forest plans, etc.

Ranking Score

10 Points
Possible

Biological Control: Project contains a biological control method for controlling the target weed species. Planning and release of biological control agents to be coordinated with APHIS and UDAF.

100 Points
Possible

Scores should reflect the ranking committee's opinion on the adequacy, quality and completeness of the project's future management strategy as outlined in the proposal.

In the unlikely event that the ranking scores are tied, the following will be used to further validate the proposed projects to break the ties:

Project Administration Costs:

Projects with lower administration costs (less than the allowable 10%) will be given preference.

Enhanced Project Monitoring:

Project includes intensive monitoring that will measure more specifically the outcomes than just qualitative monitoring, GPS and Photopoints. Enhanced monitoring may include for example the following methods which are utilized by the NRCS.

- a. Line-point intercept (plant cover and composition)
- b. Canopy and Basal gap intercept (weed invasion and erosion)
- c. Belt test/line intercept cover (for invasive species)

Project Landowner Information

Please provide the approximate land ownership acres and percentages for the proposed project area.

Private Land (Acres)	State Agency Land (Acres)	Federal Land (Acres)
Percent of Coverage of TARGET SPECIES Weed on Each Type of Landownership		
Private Land %	State Agency Land %	Federal Land %
Partner Contributions	In-Kind Contribution	Monetary Contribution
Private Contributions		
State Agency Contributions		
Federal Contributions	No In-Kind Allowed	
Total Funds		